

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/286,189	08/05/94	SANHUEZA	s	MISMS1038348
-		HM12/0621	EXAMINER	
SIM AND MCE	BURNEY	UNITY OPET	PARKIN	, J
330 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 701 TORONTO ON M5G 1R7 CANADA		AIR MAIL	1641	et l
		CLARIA DE LA COLLA DEL COLLA DE LA COLLA DE LA COLLA DEL COLLA DE LA COLLA DE	DATE MAILED:	06/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Ctricial Hoyler

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/286,189** 

Applicatit(s)

Sanhueza et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1641



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Mar 2000			
X This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1, 3-9, and 11-16	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
X Claim(s) 1, 3-9, and 11-16			
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on	d to by the Examiner.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  Der)		
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial No.: 08/286,189
Applicants: Sanhueza et al.

e recom

5

10

15

20

25

30

Docket No.: MISMS1038348
Filing Date: 08/05/94

#### Response to Amendment

#### Status of the Claims

1. Acknowledgement is hereby made of receipt of the amendment submitted 27 March, 2000. None of the claims were amended in this reply and no new claims introduced. Claims 1, 3-9, and 11-16 are pending in the instant application.

## 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 3-9, and 11-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As previously set forth, the claims are directed toward RSV vaccine compositions that are capable of inducing non-immunopotentiating and protective immune responses in humans, their methods of preparation, and employing said vaccine compositions. methods immunization Applicants traverse and submit that the cotton rat provides an artrecognized model for studying human immune responses to RSV. In particular, Coe et al. (1996) was presented in support of this assertion. This teaching states in the introduction that recent clinical studies with RSV have shown a "high level of correlation between experimental results in cotton rats and outcomes in clinical trials."

Serial No.: 08/286,189 Applicants: Sanhueza et al.

Contrary to applicants' assertions, the Coe et al. (1996) teaching fails to enable the claimed invention. First, this teaching fails to provide any evidence pertaining to the suitability of the cotton rat model for predicting immune response Second, while reference was made to other published studies, none of the relevant facts were provided from those studies in this publication. Descriptions of the immunogen employed and immune parameters examined were not provided. the prior art clearly provides a reasonable basis for questioning the validity of the cotton rat model and also epitomizes the difficulties associated with RSV vaccine development. Applicants are advised that the presentation of more appropriate publications or other suitable evidence providing reproducible data derived from the cotton rat model might obviate the rejection. However, in the absence of such data the rejection is hereby maintained.

5

10

15

20

25

30

## Finality of Office Action

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

#### Correspondence

5. The Art Unit location of your application in the Patent and

Serial No.: 08/286,189 Applicants: Sanhueza et al.

Trademark Office has changed. To facilitate the correlation of related papers and documents for this application, all future correspondence should be directed to **art unit 1641**.

- 6. Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward one of the following Group 1600 fax numbers: (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014. Informal communications may be submitted directly to the Examiner through the following fax number: (703) 308-4426. Applicants are encouraged to notify the Examiner prior to the submission of such documents to facilitate their expeditious processing and entry.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2227. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, James Housel or Laurie Scheiner, can be reached at (703) 308-4027 or (703) 308-1122, respectively. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Respectfully,

5

10

15

20

25

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

16 June, 2000

LAURIE SCHEINER PRIMARY EXAMINER